



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/769,158	0/769,158 01/29/2004		Richard C. Smith	M-15596 US	7774
32605	7590	11/28/2006		EXAM	INER
		OK CHEN & HE	DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA		
2033 GATEN SUITE 400	VAY PLAC	E		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN JOSE,	CA 95110			2614	

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/769,158	SMITH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phylesha L. Dabney	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 O	ctober 2006.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11,15-19,25-29,32,33 and 36 is/a	re pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
5) Claim(s) <u>32,33 and 36</u> is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1-9,11,15-18 and 25-29 is/are rejected	d.	`.				
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	• •				
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	•				
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on $1/29/04$ is/are: a) \boxtimes ac		e Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 LLS C. & 119/a	\-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	phoney and or or or or or or or or or	, (3, 3, (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
A44		•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	· (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 18 October 2006 has been entered. Claims 1-9, 11, 15-19, 25-29, 32-33, and 36 are pending. Claims 10, 12-14, 20-24, 30-31, 34-35 were cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9, 11, and 28-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DeKalb (U.S. Patent No. 6,810,987).

Regarding claims 1-4 and 8-9, as shown in figures 1-8, DeKalb teaches a device for facilitating hearing, the device comprising: an earpiece (10) configured to be captured at least partially within the conchae (using 22) of an ear; and wherein the earpiece (10) is configured for use in either ear by re-orienting the earpiece without re-configuring the earpiece.

Art Unit: 2615

Regarding claims 5-7, DeKalb teaches the device as recited in claim 1, wherein the earpiece (10) is generally symmetric about a plane that bisects the earpiece between the top and bottom thereof.

Regarding claim 11, DeKalb teaches the device as recited in claim 1, wherein the earpiece (10) is comprised of at least one rib (figs. 2-4, 6-8).

Regarding claims 28-29, these method claims merely provide a methodology for the structure of claims 1-8 and 11, thus would be inherent and similarly rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-18 and 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeKalb.

Regarding claims 15-17, Dekalb does not teach the device as recited in claim 1, wherein the earpiece (10) is formed of any particular type of material including a resilient polymer having a Shore A durometer of between approximately 35 and approximately 45. However, it is known to fabricate the earpiece of resilient materials such as rubber, silicone, vinyl, etc., that can have a

Art Unit: 2615

duromenter hardness in the range between 35 and 45 for providing softness and comfort to the user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the earpiece of resilient materials such as rubber, silicone, vinyl, etc., in the invention of DeKalb for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claim 18, DeKalb does not teach the device as recited in claim 1, wherein the earpiece (10) is formed of any particular type of material including injection molding.

However, it is known to fabricate the earpiece of injection molded material for providing softness and comfort to the user.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to fabricate the earpiece of injection molded materical in the invention of DeKalb for the reasons stated above.

Regarding claims 25-27, these method claims merely provide a methodology for the structure of claims 1, and 15-18, thus would be inherent and similarly rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2615

Claims 32-33 and 36 are allowed. With respect to the claims, the prior art of record fails to teach an earpiece comprising a generally arcuate rib and a generally vertical rib formed so as to generally define the letter D, and also comprises a boss having a bore formed there through, the boss being formed to the generally vertical rib; and wherein the earpiece is sufficiently symmetrical to be configured for use in either the right or left ear, as substantially described and connected with the other functional language of these claims

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phylesha L. Dabney whose telephone number is 571-272-7494.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, Fridays 8:30-4 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz can be reached on 571-272-7499. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks P O Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to:

(703) 273-8300, for formal communications intended for entry and for informal or draft communications, please label "Proposed" or "Draft" when submitting an informal amendment.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

Art Unit: 2615

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

November 17, 2006

PI D